

# Going Concern Reporting Presentation to PCAOB's SAG November 9, 2011

Professor K. Raghunandan  
On behalf of the Research Team  
from the American Accounting Association  
E. Carson, N. Fargher, M. Geiger,  
C. Lennox, K. Raghunandan, M. Willekens

The views expressed in these slides are solely the views of the presenter and do not necessarily reflect the views of the PCAOB, the members of the Board, or the Board's staff. The PCAOB makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of this information.



# Overall Focus of Research Team

- Trends in going-concern (GC) audit opinions:
  - Changes over time and regulatory / legal regimes.
- Bankruptcy is usual proxy for “not a going concern” in auditing research.
- Bankruptcy prediction using financial and other data.
- Correlation between bankruptcies and GC audit opinions:
  - Bankruptcies without prior GC audit opinions.
  - Subsequent status of firms with GC audit opinions.
- Auditor and client factors associated with GC opinions.
- International differences in GC reporting.
- “Substantial Doubt”

# Bankruptcies & GC Opinions

- Bankruptcies with some financial stress (i.e., not sudden/strategic bankruptcies) but without prior GC audit opinions: 30 to 60% in most studies examining various periods from 1970 to 2009.
- SAS No. 59 did not significantly change this proportion.
- Rate of bankruptcies without prior GC:
  - *increased* after 1995 (Reform Act) and 1998 (Uniform Standards Act).
  - *decreased* after Enron/SOX, but only temporarily.
  - 2000-2001 -- 47%
  - 2002-2003 -- 28%
  - 2004-2005 -- 41%
  - 2006-2007 -- 49%

## GC Opinions: Rates and Consequences

- For companies with a first-time GC opinion, only about 10 percent enter bankruptcy within one year; proportion increases to 20-25 percent over 2-3 years.
- But about a third of GC opinion firms merge/are acquired within the next five years.
- Self fulfilling prophecy? U.S. evidence: GC opinion increases likelihood of bankruptcy, after controlling for financial stress.
- Negative market reaction to *unexpected* GC opinions.
- GC opinion leads to shift in investors' focus from income statement to balance sheet (GC opinion warns about high book values relative to liquidation value).

# Determinants of GC opinions

- Auditor decision to modify for GC is a two-step process:
  - Identification of potential GC issue
  - Whether to issue a GC opinion
- Factors are associated with increased likelihood of GC opinions for stressed firms: Liquidity (-), Profitability (-), Leverage (+), Size (-), Prior GC opinion (+), Market returns (-), Defaults (+), Audit firm size (+), Audit report lag (+)
- Default status is most important variable.
- Factors associated with auditor independence may also impact the decision of the auditor to issue a GC modification.

# “Substantial Doubt”

- Substantial doubt (SD) about the ability of the entity to continue as a going-concern
- What is substantial doubt?
- Mean (median) numerical threshold values (from 1994 study):
  - Audit partners/managers (n=45) 0.57 (0.51)
  - Bank loan officers (n=95) 0.72 (0.75)
  - Financial analysts (n=88) 0.71 (0.70)
  - District / superior court judges (n=32) 0.33 (0.30)
  - Congressional staff (n=2) 0.33
- Possible “Communication Gap”?
- Flexibility in audit opinion wording? (not mandate exact phrase, or permit conditional GC opinion --“if xxx, then SD about GC”)?
- More informative, but increases pressure from clients.